

Recovery of 2,3-Butanediol from Fermentation Broth: A Review



Xiaolan Cai^{1,2}, Tiejun Wang^{1,2}, Wanli Zhang^{3,*}

¹School of Light Industry and Chemical Engineering, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

²Jieyang Center, Guangdong Provincial Laboratory of Chemistry and Fine Chemical Engineering, Jieyang 515200, China

³R&D Center, Shanghai Shaanxi Coal Hi-tech Research Institute, Shanghai 201613, China

Abstract: 2,3-Butanediol (2,3-BDO) is a key bio-based platform chemical with wide-ranging applications in fuels, synthetic rubber, pharmaceuticals, and polymer industries. The sustainable production of 2,3-BDO via microbial fermentation of renewable biomass has gained significant attention as an alternative to fossil-based routes. However, the efficient recovery of 2,3-BDO from fermentation broth remains a critical challenge, primarily due to its high boiling point, strong hydrophilicity, and relatively low concentration in aqueous systems. These characteristics render conventional separation processes, particularly distillation, highly energy-intensive and economically unfavorable. This review systematically summarizes the current advances in downstream processing technologies for 2,3-BDO recovery, including distillation, liquid–liquid extraction, gas stripping, adsorption, membrane-based separation, and the emerging salting-out extraction method. The fundamental principles, advantages, limitations, and recent developments of each technique are critically analyzed. In particular, salting-out extraction is highlighted as an effective strategy for enhancing the partitioning of hydrophilic compounds and reducing energy consumption. Furthermore, hybrid separation processes that integrate multiple techniques, as well as in situ product recovery (ISPR) approaches, are discussed for their potential to improve process efficiency and alleviate product inhibition during fermentation. Finally, future perspectives are proposed, focusing on the development of green solvents, advanced membrane materials, and intensified hybrid systems. These innovations are expected to enable more efficient, cost-effective, and sustainable recovery of 2,3-BDO, thereby facilitating its large-scale industrial application within emerging biorefinery frameworks.

Keywords: 2,3-butanediol; Fermentation Broth; Downstream Processing; Salting-out Extraction; Membrane Separation; Biorefinery

DOI: [10.57237/j.res.2026.01.002](https://doi.org/10.57237/j.res.2026.01.002)

1 Introduction

The growing demand for sustainable chemicals and fuels has accelerated the transition from fossil-based resources to renewable biomass as a feedstock for chemical manufacturing [1–3]. Among various bio-based platform molecules, 2,3-butanediol (2,3-BDO) has emerged as a highly versatile intermediate with broad industrial applications [4]. This diol is widely used as a precursor for the production of hydrogen [5], methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) [6, 7], 1,3-

butadiene [8], and various oxygenated fuels and polymers [9, 10]. In addition, 2,3-BDO can serve directly as an anti-freeze agen, liquid fuel additive, solvent, and precursor for synthetic rubber and plasticizers [11]. Because of its structural versatility and multiple downstream conversion routes [12–14], 2,3-BDO is considered an important building block in the development of sustainable biorefineries [15].

*Corresponding author: Wanli Zhang, boluomixqz@foxmail.com

Traditionally, 2,3-BDO was produced through petrochemical pathways; however, increasing concerns over fossil resource depletion and greenhouse gas emissions have stimulated interest in bio-based production routes [16]. Microbial fermentation has become the most promising approach for producing 2,3-BDO from renewable resources [17]. Various microorganisms—including *Klebsiella* [18], *Enterobacter* [11], *Bacillus* [19], and *Paenibacillus* [20] species—are capable of converting sugars derived from lignocellulosic biomass, starch, or industrial waste streams into 2,3-BDO through well-established metabolic pathways [21]. Advances in metabolic engineering, synthetic biology, and fermentation optimization have significantly improved fermentation performance [22]. In recent years, titers exceeding $100\text{--}150\text{ g L}^{-1}$, yields approaching theoretical limits [23], and productivities above $2\text{--}4\text{ g L}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}$ have been reported in laboratory and pilot-scale fermentations. These technological improvements have brought bio-based 2,3-BDO production closer to commercial viability [24].

Despite these significant advances in upstream fermentation technology, downstream recovery and purification remain one of the most challenging and costly steps in the overall production process [25]. The recovery of 2,3-BDO from fermentation broth is particularly difficult due to several intrinsic physicochemical properties of the molecule. First, 2,3-BDO possesses a relatively high boiling point (approximately $180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), which leads to high energy consumption during thermal separation processes such as distillation [26, 27]. Second, the presence of two hydroxyl groups enables strong hydrogen bonding with water molecules, resulting in high miscibility with water and poor volatility. Third, the concentration of 2,3-BDO in fermentation broth is typically limited to tens of grams per liter, meaning that large volumes of water must be processed to recover the product [28]. In addition, fermentation broths contain a variety of impurities—including microbial cells, proteins, organic acids, residual sugars, and metabolic by-products such as acetoin and ethanol—which further complicate separation and purification processes [29, 30].

As a result of these challenges, downstream processing can account for up to 50–70% of the total production cost of bio-based 2,3-BDO [31]. Conventional recovery methods such as distillation are often energy-intensive and economically unattractive when applied directly to dilute fermentation broths [32, 33]. Consequently, significant research efforts have been devoted to developing alternative separation technologies that can improve energy efficiency and reduce operational costs [34–36]. Various approaches

have been investigated, including liquid–liquid extraction [37], gas stripping [38], adsorption [39], membrane-based separations [40, 41], salting-out extraction [42], and integrated hybrid processes [43]. Each of these technologies offers unique advantages and limitations in terms of selectivity, energy consumption, scalability, and compatibility with fermentation systems.

In recent years, particular attention has been directed toward process intensification strategies that combine fermentation and separation steps [44, 45]. In situ product recovery (ISPR) techniques, for example, can continuously remove 2,3-BDO from fermentation broth, thereby alleviating product inhibition and enhancing microbial productivity [24]. Meanwhile, emerging separation technologies such as membrane distillation [46], pervaporation [47], and salting-out extraction [48, 49] have demonstrated promising potential for improving the efficiency of 2,3-BDO recovery.

In this context, a comprehensive understanding of downstream separation technologies is essential for advancing the commercialization of bio-based 2,3-BDO production. This review provides a systematic overview of the current progress in recovery and purification strategies for 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth. The physicochemical characteristics of fermentation systems and the associated separation challenges are first discussed. Subsequently, conventional and emerging separation technologies—including distillation, solvent extraction, adsorption, membrane processes, and salting-out extraction—are critically evaluated. Finally, future perspectives are proposed regarding process integration, advanced materials, and sustainable separation strategies for efficient recovery of 2,3-BDO within next-generation biorefineries.

2 Characteristics of Fermentation Broth and Separation Challenges

The composition of fermentation broth significantly influences the design of downstream separation processes [25]. Typical fermentation systems contain microbial cells, nutrients, residual sugars, organic acids, and metabolic by-products such as acetoin and ethanol [50–52]. In addition, fermentation media often contain salts and proteins that can interfere with separation processes.

The concentration of 2,3-BDO in fermentation broth generally ranges from 40 to 120 g L^{-1} , depending on microbial strains and fermentation conditions [53]. Although

this concentration is relatively high compared with other bio-based chemicals, it is still far below the level required for direct purification through simple distillation.

Another key challenge arises from the high boiling point and strong hydrophilicity of 2,3-BDO. Due to the presence of two hydroxyl groups, 2,3-BDO forms extensive hydrogen bonds with water molecules. This strong interaction significantly increases the energy demand for evaporation and distillation.

Moreover, fermentation broth contains various impurities that may cause foaming, fouling, and catalyst poisoning during separation and downstream upgrading processes. Therefore, an effective recovery strategy must consider both product separation and impurity removal.

3 Separation Techniques for 2,3-BDO

3.1 Distillation-Based Separation

Distillation is one of the most widely used industrial separation technologies [54–56]. In early industrial processes, 2,3-BDO was primarily recovered by multi-stage vacuum distillation following removal of cells and proteins from fermentation broth [24, 57].

The typical process involves several steps [58]. First, microbial cells and suspended solids are removed by filtration or centrifugation. The clarified broth is then concentrated by evaporation to reduce the water content. Subsequently, vacuum distillation is applied to separate 2,3-BDO from remaining impurities [58, 59].

Although distillation is a mature technology, it suffers from extremely high energy consumption when applied to 2,3-BDO recovery [46]. The high boiling point of 2,3-BDO requires elevated temperatures or deep vacuum conditions, which significantly increases operational costs. Furthermore, thermal degradation of fermentation components may occur during prolonged heating.

To improve efficiency, researchers have explored extractive distillation and reactive distillation [60, 61]. These processes introduce a solvent or reactant to modify the volatility of 2,3-BDO and facilitate separation [62]. However, additional solvent recovery steps are required, which may complicate the process [63–66].

Overall, while distillation remains important for final purification, it is generally combined with other pre-concentration methods to reduce energy demand.

3.2 Liquid–Liquid Extraction

Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) has been extensively investigated as an alternative method for recovering 2,3-BDO [67]. In this approach, an organic solvent selectively extracts 2,3-BDO from aqueous fermentation broth [68, 69].

The efficiency of LLE depends largely on the distribution coefficient and selectivity of the solvent [70]. Various solvents have been studied, including higher alcohols [71], esters [72], ethers [73], and ionic liquids [74, 75]. Among them, solvents containing polar functional groups tend to exhibit stronger affinity toward 2,3-BDO due to hydrogen-bond interactions [76].

Despite its advantages, traditional solvent extraction faces several limitations. Many organic solvents exhibit low partition coefficients for 2,3-BDO [72], requiring large solvent volumes. In addition, solvent toxicity may inhibit microbial activity if extraction is conducted in situ during fermentation.

3.3 Gas Stripping and Vacuum Evaporation

Gas stripping is another technique used to remove volatile fermentation products from broth [77]. In this process, an inert gas such as nitrogen [78] or carbon dioxide [79, 80] is bubbled through the fermentation medium, carrying volatile compounds into a condenser.

However, 2,3-BDO exhibits low volatility, which limits the effectiveness of gas stripping. As a result, this method is more suitable for removing by-products such as acetoin and ethanol rather than directly recovering 2,3-BDO [81].

Vacuum evaporation has also been explored for concentrating fermentation broth [58]. By lowering the operating pressure, water can be selectively evaporated while retaining most of the 2,3-BDO in the liquid phase. This method can reduce the volume of broth prior to further purification steps.

3.4 Adsorption-Based Separation

Adsorption processes employ porous materials to selectively capture target molecules from aqueous solutions [82]. Various adsorbents, including activated carbon [83–85], polymeric resins [86], and zeolites [87, 88], have been investigated for 2,3-BDO recovery.

Polymeric adsorption resins are particularly attractive

due to their high surface area and tunable surface chemistry. Hydrophobic resins can selectively adsorb 2,3-BDO while excluding water molecules [89]. After adsorption, the product can be recovered by solvent desorption or thermal regeneration.

The main advantages of adsorption include low energy consumption and mild operating conditions. However, adsorption capacity and selectivity may decrease in complex fermentation broths due to competitive adsorption of other organic compounds and reactions [6].

3.5 Membrane-Based Separation

Membrane technologies have emerged as promising alternatives for bio-product recovery due to their energy efficiency and scalability [68]. Several membrane processes have been applied to 2,3-BDO separation, including ultrafiltration [90], nanofiltration [53], pervaporation [68], and membrane distillation [46].

Ultrafiltration and microfiltration are commonly used as pretreatment steps to remove microbial cells and macromolecules from fermentation broth. This reduces fouling in downstream separation processes.

Pervaporation has been widely investigated for recovering organic compounds from aqueous solutions [47]. In this process, a selective membrane allows 2,3-BDO to permeate and vaporize on the permeate side under reduced pressure. Polymeric membranes such as polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and composite membranes have shown promising separation performance [91].

Nevertheless, membrane fouling and limited flux remain major challenges for large-scale applications [92].

3.6 Salting-Out Extraction

Salting-out extraction has recently emerged as an effective method for recovering highly water-soluble bioalcohols from fermentation broths [93]. This technique is based on the salting-out effect [94–96], a phenomenon in which the addition of inorganic or organic salts decreases the solubility of organic compounds in water, thereby promoting their transfer into a separate organic phase [97]. The effect originates from the strong hydration interactions between salt ions and water molecules [98]. When salts dissolve in water, they compete with organic solutes for water molecules, reducing the ability of water to solvate polar organic compounds such as 2,3-butanediol [99].

For the recovery of 2,3-butanediol, salting-out extraction typically involves the addition of an inorganic salt together

with an organic solvent to the fermentation broth [100]. The presence of salt significantly increases the distribution coefficient of 2,3-butanediol between the aqueous and organic phases, resulting in enhanced extraction efficiency [101]. Commonly used salts include potassium carbonate [100, 102], sodium sulfate [69], ammonium sulfate [103–105], and potassium phosphate [100], all of which exhibit strong hydration capacity and pronounced salting-out effects. The organic solvents used in this process are generally moderately polar solvents capable of interacting with 2,3-butanediol through hydrogen bonding or dipole–dipole interactions [30, 106, 107].

One of the major advantages of salting-out extraction is its high extraction efficiency for hydrophilic compounds [108]. Compared with conventional liquid–liquid extraction, the addition of salt can dramatically increase the partition coefficient of 2,3-butanediol [19], thereby reducing the required solvent volume. Moreover, the salting-out process often facilitates rapid phase separation, which simplifies downstream processing and improves operational stability.

Another important benefit of this method is that it operates under mild temperature and pressure conditions [109], making it compatible with fermentation systems and sensitive biomolecules. In some cases, salting-out extraction can even be applied as part of an *in situ* product recovery strategy, where the product is continuously removed from the fermentation broth to alleviate product inhibition and enhance fermentation productivity.

However, several challenges must be addressed for practical implementation of salting-out extraction [110]. The accumulation of salts in the process stream may lead to increased operational costs and environmental concerns if salt recovery and recycling are not properly managed [111]. In addition, the choice of solvent must consider not only extraction efficiency but also solvent toxicity [33], recyclability [48], and environmental impact. Therefore, future research should focus on optimizing salt–solvent combinations, improving solvent recovery methods, and developing sustainable process configurations.

Despite these challenges, salting-out extraction represents a promising and energy-efficient approach for the recovery of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths. When combined with other separation technologies such as distillation or membrane processes, it can serve as an effective pre-concentration step that significantly reduces the energy demand of downstream purification.

3.7 Hybrid and Integrated Separation Processes

Given the inherent limitations of individual separation techniques, hybrid and integrated separation processes have increasingly attracted attention for the recovery of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths [4]. In practical systems, no single technology can simultaneously achieve high selectivity, low energy consumption, and economic feasibility. Hybrid strategies combine two or more separation methods to exploit their complementary advantages, thereby improving overall process efficiency and reducing operational costs. Typical integrated schemes involve combinations such as membrane filtration–distillation, extraction–distillation [29], adsorption–desorption, or salting-out extraction coupled with thermal separation.

One promising approach is the integration of solvent extraction with distillation [112]. In such systems, organic solvents selectively extract 2,3-butanediol from the aqueous phase, thereby concentrating the product and reducing the volume of water that must be processed during distillation. After extraction, the organic phase containing 2,3-butanediol is subjected to solvent recovery through distillation or back-extraction. This integrated process can significantly reduce the energy demand associated with direct distillation of dilute fermentation broths.

Overall, hybrid separation systems represent a promising direction for improving the efficiency and economic viability of 2,3-butanediol recovery. By strategically combining complementary technologies [113], it is possible to overcome the limitations of individual methods and develop scalable downstream processes suitable for industrial biorefineries.

4 Conclusion and Outlook

The sustainable production of 2,3-butanediol from renewable biomass has attracted considerable attention as part of the global transition toward bio-based chemical manufacturing. While significant progress has been achieved in microbial fermentation technologies, the efficient recovery of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths remains a major challenge due to its high boiling point, strong hydrophilicity, and relatively low concentration in aqueous media. These physicochemical characteristics make conventional separation methods, particularly distillation, highly energy-intensive and economically demanding.

A variety of separation technologies have been explored to address these challenges. Traditional approaches such as distillation remain important for final purification but are generally unsuitable for direct processing of dilute fermentation broths. Alternative techniques—including solvent extraction, adsorption, gas stripping, and membrane-based separations—have demonstrated potential for improving energy efficiency and reducing operational costs. Among these methods, membrane technologies offer advantages in terms of modularity and low energy consumption, while adsorption processes provide selective capture of target molecules under mild conditions.

More recently, salting-out extraction has emerged as a particularly promising strategy for recovering water-soluble bioalcohols such as 2,3-butanediol. By introducing inorganic salts that strongly hydrate with water molecules, the solubility of organic compounds in the aqueous phase is significantly reduced, promoting their transfer into an organic solvent phase. This method can dramatically enhance extraction efficiency while maintaining relatively low energy requirements. When combined with solvent recovery or distillation, salting-out extraction provides an effective route for pre-concentrating 2,3-butanediol prior to final purification.

Despite these advances, several challenges remain before large-scale industrial implementation can be realized. First, the development of highly selective and environmentally benign solvents is essential for improving extraction performance while minimizing toxicity and environmental impact. Second, advanced membrane materials with improved permeability and antifouling properties are needed to enhance the stability and long-term performance of membrane-based separation processes. Third, effective strategies for salt recovery and recycling must be established in salting-out systems to reduce operational costs and environmental burdens.

Another promising direction lies in the integration of fermentation and downstream separation through process intensification strategies. In situ product recovery technologies can continuously remove 2,3-butanediol during fermentation, alleviating product inhibition and increasing productivity. Coupling fermentation with advanced separation processes such as membrane extraction, adsorption, or salting-out extraction could significantly improve the overall efficiency of the production process.

Looking forward, the future development of 2,3-butanediol recovery technologies will likely focus on hybrid sep-

aration systems, advanced functional materials, and integrated biorefinery concepts. By combining innovations in fermentation engineering, separation science, and process design, it will be possible to establish economically viable and environmentally sustainable production pathways for bio-based 2,3-butanediol. Such advancements will play an important role in enabling the large-scale deployment of renewable chemicals and fuels in the emerging bioeconomy.

References

- [1] James III PhD RE, Kearins D, Turner M, Woods M, Kuehn N, Zoelle A. Cost and Performance Baseline for Fossil Energy Plants Volume 1: Bituminous Coal and Natural Gas to Electricity. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1569246>
- [2] Huber GW, Iborra S, Corma A. Synthesis of transportation fuels from biomass: Chemistry, catalysts, and engineering. *Chem Rev* 2006; 106: 4044–98. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068360d>
- [3] Yang H, Hu P, Li Z, Hu C. Catalytic Conversion of Complex Reactant Systems Makes the World Greener, More Sustainable and Hopeful: Where and How to Go? *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 8. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2024008>
- [4] Xie S, Li Z, Zhu G, Song W, Yi C. Cleaner production and downstream processing of bio-based 2,3-butanediol: A review. *J Clean Prod* 2022; 343: 131033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131033>
- [5] Xie S, Dong H, Peng X, Chu PK. Non-precious Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 11. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2024011>
- [6] Nien KC, Chang FT, Chang MB. Adsorption–desorption characteristics of methyl ethyl ketone with modified activated carbon and inhibition of 2,3-butanediol production. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2015; 65: 1317–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2015.1084399>
- [7] Emerson RR, Fllckinger MC, Tsao GT. Kinetics of Dehydration of Aqueous 2,3-Butanediol to Methyl Ethyl Ketone. *Ind Eng Chem Prod Res Dev* 1982. <https://doi.org/10.1021/i300007a025>
- [8] Kim W, Shin W, Lee KJ, Song H, Kim HS, Seung D, et al. 2,3-Butanediol dehydration catalyzed by silica-supported sodium phosphates. *Appl Catal A Gen* 2016; 511: 156–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APCATA.2015.11.043>
- [9] Harvey BG, Merriman WW, Quintana RL. Renewable Gasoline, Solvents, and Fuel Additives from 2,3-Butanediol. *ChemSusChem* 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600225>
- [10] Xie S, Chen Z, Zhang W. Techno-economic Analysis of Jet Fuel Production from Vegetable Oil Using Self-produced Solvent and Non-noble Metallic Catalyst. *Innov Discov* 2025; 2: 16. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2025016>
- [11] Białkowska AM. Strategies for efficient and economical 2,3-butanediol production: new trends in this field. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016; 32: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11274-016-2161-X/TABLES/3>
- [12] Chen Y, Tang J, Li W, Tu J, Huang C. Enhancing Oxygen Evolution Reaction through In Situ Electrochemical Oxidation for the Structural Control of Co-Fe Prussian Blue Analogue. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 5. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2024005>
- [13] Kaseem M, Fattah-alhosseini A. Enhanced the Electrochemical Stability of Al-Zn-Mg Alloy Through the Dual Incorporation of ZrO₂ and V₂O₅ into the Alumina Layer. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 2. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2024002>
- [14] Zeng F, Tenn WJ, Aki SNVK, Xu J, Liu B, Hohn KL. Influence of basicity on 1,3-butadiene formation from catalytic 2,3-butanediol dehydration over γ -alumina. *J Catal* 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2016.09.003>
- [15] Rajale T, Yang X, Judge EJ, Moore CM, Martinez A, Guo MF, et al. Separation, recovery and upgrading of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth. *Biofuels, Bioprod Biorefining* 2023; 17: 1003–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.2496>
- [16] Zeng AP, Sabra W. Microbial production of diols as platform chemicals: Recent progresses. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2011; 22: 749–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.05.005>
- [17] Alam S, Capit F, Weigand WA, Hong J. Kinetics of 2,3-butanediol fermentation by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*: Effect of initial substrate concentration and aeration. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.280470109>
- [18] Jiang L qun, Fang Z, Li XK, Luo J. Production of 2,3-butanediol from cellulose and Jatropha hulls after ionic liquid pretreatment and dilute-acid hydrolysis. *AMB Express* 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-0855-3-48>
- [19] Afschar AS, Vaz Rossell CE, Jonas R, Quesada Chanto A, Schaller K. Microbial production and downstream processing of 2,3-butanediol. *J Biotechnol* 1993; 27: 317–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1656\(93\)90094-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1656(93)90094-4)
- [20] Jiang LQ, Fang Z, Zhao ZL, He F, Li H Bin. 2,3-butanediol and acetoin production from enzymatic hydrolysate of ionic liquid-pretreated cellulose by *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. *BioResources* 2015. <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.10.1.1318-1329>
- [21] Liu J, Peng X. Interface-engineering of the Catalysts for Efficient Electrocatalytic Upcycling of Polyethylene Terephthalate Waste. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 1. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2024001>

- [22] Hakizimana O, Matabaro E, Lee BH. The current strategies and parameters for the enhanced microbial production of 2,3-butanediol. *Biotechnol Reports* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2019.e00397>
- [23] Ma C, Wang A, Qin J, Li L, Ai X, Jiang T, et al. Enhanced 2,3-butanediol production by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* SDM. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1732-7>
- [24] Harvianto GR, Haider J, Hong J, Van Duc Long N, Shim JJ, Cho MH, et al. Purification of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth: Process development and techno-economic analysis. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2018; 11: 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1013-3>
- [25] Gawal PM, Subudhi S. Advances and challenges in bio-based 2,3-BD downstream purification: A comprehensive review. *Bioresour Technol Reports* 2023; 24: 101638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BITEB.2023.101638>
- [26] Hu F, Huang J, Xie S. Green valorization of sulfurous waste gases: An energy-efficient process for high-purity dimethyl sulfoxide production via advanced process simulation and integration. *Energy Convers Manag* 2026; 348: 120637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2025.120637>
- [27] Xie S, Qiu X, Yi C. Separation of a Biofuel: Recovery of Biobutanol by Salting-Out and Distillation. *Chem Eng Technol* 2015; 38: 2181–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/CEAT.201500140>
- [28] Song D, Yoon YG, Lee CJ. Conceptual design for the recovery of 1,3-Butadiene and methyl ethyl ketone via a 2,3-Butanediol-dehydration process. *Chem Eng Res Des* 2017; 123: 268–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHERD.2017.05.019>
- [29] Fu C, Li Z, Zhang Y, Yi C, Xie S. Assessment of extraction options for a next-generation biofuel: Recovery of bio-isobutanol from aqueous solutions. *Eng Life Sci* 2021; 21: 653. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ELSC.202000090>
- [30] Dai J, Zhang Y, Xiu Z. Salting-out extraction of 2,3-butanediol from Jerusalem artichoke-based fermentation broth. *Chinese J Chem Eng* 2011; 19: 682–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-9541\(11\)60041-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-9541(11)60041-4)
- [31] Xiu ZL, Zeng AP. Present state and perspective of downstream processing of biologically produced 1,3-propanediol and 2,3-butanediol. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2008; 78: 917–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1387-4>
- [32] Lin R, Zhong L, Hu F, Yin K, Xie S. From azeotropes to dehydrated alcohols: dual-stage salt separation of isobutanol and ethanol for green biorefining. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2026; 101: 341–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.70096>
- [33] Tan J, Huang H, Li H, Cai X, Zhou G, Zeng B, et al. Biobutanol extraction and dehydration with eucalyptus oil yielding linear and cyclic biofuel blends. *AIChE J* 2024; 70: e18495. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.18495>
- [34] Cai D, Wen J, Zhuang Y, Huang T, Si Z, Qin P, et al. Review of alternative technologies for acetone-butanol-ethanol separation: Principles, state-of-the-art, and development trends. *Sep Purif Technol* 2022; 298: 121244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2022.121244>
- [35] Xie S, Sun Z, Tan J, Zhang W, Cai X, Fang Y. Emerging Micromolecule-based Aqueous Two-phase Systems and Their Application in Biofuels. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 30. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2024030>
- [36] Bhattacharjee J, Roy S. Advanced Techniques Using Ionic Liquids for Efficient Separation of Sugar Alcohols. *Innov Discov* 2025; 2: 3. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2025003>
- [37] Wan F, Zhou C, Liu A, Kang T, Liao P, Liu S, et al. Salting-out assisted acidic deep eutectic solvent Liquid-Liquid extraction of 2,3-Butanediol from aqueous Solutions: Green and sustainable approach. *Microchem J* 2023; 193: 109101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2023.109101>
- [38] Ezeji TC, Qureshi N, Blaschek HP. Production of acetone, butanol and ethanol by *Clostridium beijerinckii* BA101 and in situ recovery by gas stripping. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2003; 19: 595–603. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025103011923>
- [39] Freund HJ. Model systems in heterogeneous catalysis: Selectivity studies at the atomic level. *Top Catal* 2008; 48: 137–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-008-9052-9>
- [40] Penkova A V., Polotskaya GA, Toikka AM. Separation of acetic acid–methanol–methyl acetate–water reactive mixture. *Chem Eng Sci* 2013; 101: 586–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CES.2013.05.055>
- [41] Katibi KK, Shitu IG, Mutalovich AA, Abba MU, Zakar MN. Application of Nanocomposite Membrane Fibre Blended with CoFe₂O₄ Nanoparticles for the Sequestration of Bisphenol S from Aqueous Solution: Fouling and Permeability Studies. *Innov Discov* 2025; 2: 19. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2025019>
- [42] Fu C, Li Z, Jia C, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Yi C, et al. Recent advances on bio-based isobutanol separation. *Energy Convers Manag* 2021; 10: 100059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2020.100059>
- [43] Zong C, Guo Q, Shen B, Yang X, Zhou H, Jin W. Heat-Integrated Pervaporation-Distillation Hybrid System for the Separation of Methyl Acetate-Methanol Azeotropes. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2021; 60: 10327–37. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.IECR.1C01513/SUPPL_FILE/IE1C01513_SI_001.PDF

- [44] Lee SC, Woo HC, Kim YH. Energy-efficient recovery process of 2,3-butanediol using 2-heptanol extraction. *Chem Eng Process - Process Intensif* 2021; 160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2020.108286>
- [45] Huang HJ, Ramaswamy S, Liu Y. Separation and purification of biobutanol during bioconversion of biomass. *Sep Purif Technol* 2014; 132: 513–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.06.013>
- [46] Qureshi N, Meagher MM, Hutkins RW. Recovery of 2,3-Butanediol by Vacuum Membrane Distillation. *Sep Sci Technol* 1994. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399408002168>
- [47] Shao P, Kumar A. Pervaporative enrichment of 2,3-butanediol from its mixture with 1-butanol using a polydimethylsiloxane and ZSM-5 mixed matrix membrane: Effects of ethanol as a by-product. *Can J Chem Eng* 2012; 90: 1562–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/CJCE.20698>
- [48] Zhou G, Tan J, Zhu M, Xie C, Yang B, Huang H, et al. Understanding the dewatering of fermentation-based 1,3-propanediol with acetone before cyclohexanone synthesis. *J Ind Eng Chem* 2024; 138: 567–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2024.04.034>
- [49] Ji Y, Lin R, Yin Y, Yang X, Lang R, Xie S, et al. Synergistic solvent–salt strategy enables high-efficiency dehydration and recovery of 2,3-butanediol from aqueous media. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2025; 13: 119331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2025.119331>
- [50] Qin J, Xiao Z, Ma C, Xie N, Liu P, Xu P. Production of 2,3-butanediol by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* using glucose and ammonium phosphate. *Chinese J Chem Eng* 2006. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-9541\(06\)60050-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-9541(06)60050-5)
- [51] Dai JY, Guan WT, Xiu ZL. Bioconversion of inulin to 2,3-butanediol by a newly isolated *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing inulinase. *Process Biochem* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2020.08.018>
- [52] Jantama K, Polyiam P, Khunnonkwao P, Chan S, Sangproo M, Khor K, et al. Efficient reduction of the formation of by-products and improvement of production yield of 2,3-butanediol by a combined deletion of alcohol dehydrogenase, acetate kinase-phosphotransacetylase, and lactate dehydrogenase genes in metabolically engineered *Kl*. *Metab Eng* 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2015.04.004>
- [53] Affandy M, Zhu C, Swita M, Hofstad B, Cronin D, Elander R, et al. Production and catalytic upgrading of 2,3-butanediol fermentation broth into sustainable aviation fuel blendstock and fuel properties measurement. *Fuel* 2023; 333: 126328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2022.126328>
- [54] Mariano AP, Keshtkar MJ, Atala DIP, Filho FM, MacIel MRW, Filho RMI, et al. Energy requirements for butanol recovery using the flash fermentation technology. *Energy and Fuels* 2011; 25: 2347–55. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ef200279v>
- [55] Mai NL, Ahn K, Koo YM. Methods for recovery of ionic liquids - A review. *Process Biochem* 2014; 49: 872–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2014.01.016>
- [56] Ezeji TC, Qureshi N, Blaschek HP. Butanol fermentation research: Upstream and downstream manipulations. *Chem Rec* 2004; 4: 305–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.20023>
- [57] Maina S, Dheskali E, Papapostolou H, Castro AM de, Guimaraes Freire DM, Nychas GJE, et al. Bioprocess Development for 2,3-Butanediol Production from Crude Glycerol and Conceptual Process Design for Aqueous Conversion into Methyl Ethyl Ketone. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 2021; 9: 8692–705. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c00253>
- [58] Jeon S, Kim DK, Song H, Lee HJ, Park S, Seung D, et al. 2,3-Butanediol recovery from fermentation broth by alcohol precipitation and vacuum distillation. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2014; 117: 464–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2013.09.007>
- [59] Haider J, Qyyum MA, Hussain A, Yasin M, Lee M. Techno-economic analysis of various process schemes for the production of fuel grade 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth. *Biochem Eng J* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2018.09.002>
- [60] Uslu H, Yankov D, Wasewar KL, Azizian S, Ullah N, Ahmad W. Separation of organic and inorganic compounds for specific applications. *J Chem* 2015; 2015: 98259. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/698259>
- [61] Kumar S, Pandey S, Wasewar KL, Ak N, Uslu H. Reactive Extraction as an Intensifying Approach for the Recovery of Organic Acids from Aqueous Solution: A Comprehensive Review on Experimental and Theoretical Studies. *J Chem Eng Data* 2021; 66: 1557–73. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.0c00405>
- [62] SENKUS M. Recovery of 2,3-Butanediol Produced by Fermentation. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 1946; 38: 913–6. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50441a016>
- [63] Li Y, Wu Y, Zhu J, Liu J. Separation of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth by reactive extraction using acetaldehyde-cyclohexane system. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng* 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-011-0675-5>
- [64] Li Y, Zhu J, Wu Y, Liu J. Reactive-extraction of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth by propionaldehyde: Equilibrium and kinetic study. *Korean J Chem Eng* 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-012-0145-6>
- [65] Li Y, Wu Y, Zhu J, Liu J, Shen Y. Separating 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broth using n-butylaldehyde. *J Saudi Chem Soc* 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscs.2013.02.005>

- [66] Hao J, Xu F, Liu H, Liu D. Downstream processing of 1,3-propanediol fermentation broth. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1369>
- [67] Othmer DF, Bergen WS, Shlechter N, Bruins PF. Liquid-Liquid Extraction Data. *Ind Eng Chem* 1945; 37: 890–4. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50429a026>
- [68] Shao P, Kumar A. Recovery of 2,3-butanediol from water by a solvent extraction and pervaporation separation scheme. *J Memb Sci* 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.12.033>
- [69] Sharma S, Pandya G, Chakrabarti T, Khanna P. Liquid-Liquid equilibria for water + 2,3-butanediol + 3-methyl-1-butanol with sodium sulfate and sodium carbonate at 303.15 K. *J Chem Eng Data* 1996; 41: 306–9. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je950171x>
- [70] Gilani HG, Khiati G, Haghi AK. Liquid-liquid equilibria of (water + 2,3-butanediol + 2-ethyl-1-hexanol) at several temperatures. *Fluid Phase Equilib* 2006; 247: 199–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2006.06.025>
- [71] Park ST, Choe J, Song KH. Liquid-Liquid Equilibria for the Ternary Systems of Water + Butane-2,3-diol + 2-Methylbutan-1-ol, 2-Ethylbutan-1-ol, and 4-Methylpentan-2-ol at 298.15 and 308.15 K. *J Chem Eng Data* 2017; 62: 1581–6. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.6b00945>
- [72] Wu YY, Zhu JW, Chen K, Wu B, Shen YL. Liquid-liquid equilibria of water + 2,3-butanediol + butyl acetate at T = 298.15 K, T = 308.15 K, and T = 318.15 K. *J Chem Eng Data* 2008; 53: 559–63. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je700705d>
- [73] Tsao GT. Conversion of biomass from agriculture into useful products. 1978
- [74] Dai J, Sun Y, Xiu Z. Ionic liquid-based salting-out extraction of bio-chemicals. *Chinese J Chem Eng* 2021; 30: 185–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CJCHE.2020.11.004>
- [75] Garcia-Chavez LY, Shazad M, Schuur B, De Haan AB. (Liquid + liquid) equilibrium data for the separation of 2,3-butanediol from aqueous streams using tetraoctyl ammonium 2-methyl-1-naphthoate. *J Chem Thermodyn* 2012; 55: 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2012.06.013>
- [76] Wu YY, Zhu JW, Chen K, Wu B, Fang J, Shen YL. Liquid-liquid equilibria of water + 2,3-butanediol + 1-butanol at T = 298.15 K, T = 308.15 K and T = 318.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib* 2008; 265: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2007.12.003>
- [77] Jiménez-Bonilla P, Wang Y. In situ biobutanol recovery from clostridial fermentations: a critical review. 2017; 38: 469–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1376308>
- [78] Liao YC, Lu KM, Li SY. Process parameters for operating 1-butanol gas stripping in a fermentor. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2014; 118: 558–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2014.04.020>
- [79] Nematov DD. Titanium Dioxide and Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction: A Detailed Review of the Current Status and Future Prospects. *Innov Discov* 2025; 2: 5. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2025005>
- [80] Yang B, Zhuang M, Zheng H, Cai X, Zhang W, Xie S. B₂O₃-induced Cu species redispersion and surface chemistry modulation strategies for highly selective CO₂ conversion towards methanol. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2025; 13: 118588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2025.118588>
- [81] Wen H, Chen H, Cai D, Gong P, Zhang T, Wu Z, et al. Integrated in situ gas stripping-salting-out process for high-titer acetone-butanol-ethanol production from sweet sorghum bagasse. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2018; 11: 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1137-5>
- [82] Oudshoorn A, van der Wielen LAM, Straathof AJJ. Adsorption equilibria of bio-based butanol solutions using zeolite. *Biochem Eng J* 2009; 48: 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2009.08.014>
- [83] Albrahee I, Li Y, Xing G. Carbon-based Perovskite Solar Cells: From Current Fabrication Methodologies to Their Future Commercialization at Low Cost. *Innov Discov* 2025; 2: 1. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2025001>
- [84] Parra JB, Ania CO, Arenillas A, Pis JJ. Textural characterisation of activated carbons obtained from poly(ethylene terephthalate) by carbon dioxide activation. vol. 144. Elsevier Masson SAS; 2002. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-2991\(02\)80178-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-2991(02)80178-1)
- [85] Kujawska A, Kujawski J, Bryjak M, Kujawski W. ABE fermentation products recovery methods - A review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2015; 48: 648–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.028>
- [86] Ivanova B. Structural Analysis of Polylactic Acid in Composite Starch Biopolymers – A Stochastic Dynamics Mass Spectrometric Approach. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 26. <https://doi.org/10.53964/ID.2024026>
- [87] Li G, Liu W, Wang X, Yuan Q. Separation of 2,3-butanediol using ZSM-5 zeolite modified with hydrophobic molecular spaces. *Chem Lett* 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.131009>
- [88] Huang H, Ji XJ, Li S, Hu N, Zhu J, Du J, et al. A method for separating 2, 3-butanediol from fermentation broth by adsorption of hydrophobic silica zeolite. CN101274876B, n.d.
- [89] Zhang X, Deng Q, Han P, Xu J, Pan L, Wang L, et al. Hydrophobic mesoporous acidic resin for hydroxyalkylation/alkylation of 2-methylfuran and ketone to high-density biofuel. *AIChE J* 2017; 63: 680–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15410>

- [90] Benhabiles MS, Abdi N, Drouiche N, Lounici H, Pauss A, Goosen MFA, et al. Protein recovery by ultrafiltration during isolation of chitin from shrimp shells *Parapenaeus longirostris*. *Food Hydrocoll* 2013; 32: 28–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODHYD.2012.11.035>
- [91] Shao P, Kumar A. Separation of 1-butanol/2,3-butanediol using ZSM-5 zeolite-filled polydimethylsiloxane membranes. *J Memb Sci* 2009; 339: 143–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.04.042>.
- [92] Grobbs NG, Eggink G, Petrus Cuperus F, Huizing HJ. Production of acetone, butanol and ethanol (ABE) from potato wastes: fermentation with integrated membrane extraction. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1993; 39: 494–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00205039>
- [93] Fu C, Li Z, Sun Z, Xie S. A review of salting-out effect and sugaring-out effect: Driving forces for novel liquid-liquid extraction of biofuels and biochemicals. *Front Chem Sci Eng* 2020; 15: 854–871. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11705-020-1980-3>
- [94] Xie S, Li Z, Zhang W. Techno-Economic Analysis of Upgrading Corn Stover-Based Acetone, n-Butanol, and Ethanol to Higher Ketones and Alcohols: Fuels or Fine Chemicals? *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 2023; 11: 3474–85. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSSUSCHEMENG.2C07103/SUPPL_FILE/SC2C07103_SI_001.PDF
- [95] Lin R, Zhong L, Hu F, Tan Z, Ma Z, Liu Z, et al. Integration of biofuel extraction and biorefinery carbon dioxide capture for closed-loop salt recycling to reduce carbon emission. *AIChE J* 2025; 72: e70180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.70180>
- [96] Chao F, Hu F, Lai J, Lang R, Fang Y, Xie S. Renewable lignosulfonate salts drive green and efficient acetone, n-butanol, and ethanol (ABE) separation. *Green Chem* 2026; 28: 2878–93. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5gc05194k>
- [97] Lai W, Zheng H, Tan Z, Lin R, Xie S. Converging waste streams: dual valorization of azeotropic effluents and bioplastic solids. *J Water Process Eng* 2025; 78: 108692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JWPE.2025.108692>
- [98] Zhong L, Lin R, Huang H, Xie S. Harnessing fluoride-induced hydration dynamics for ultra-selective bioalcohol separation. *Sep Purif Technol* 2025; 377: 134245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2025.134245>
- [99] Xie S, Zhang Y, Zhou Y, Wang Z, Yi C, Qiu X. Salting-out of bio-based 2,3-butanediol from aqueous solutions. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2017; 92: 122–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4999>
- [100] Li Z, Teng H, Xiu Z. Aqueous two-phase extraction of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths using an ethanol/ammonium sulfate system. *Process Biochem* 2010; 45: 731–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2010.01.011>
- [101] Matsumoto M, Okuno R, Kondo K. Extraction of 2,3-butanediol with aqueous two-phase systems formed by water-miscible organic solvents and inorganic salts. *Solvent Extr Res Dev* 2014; 21: 181–90. <https://doi.org/10.15261/serdj.21.181>
- [102] Xie S, Yi C, Qiu X. Salting-out of acetone, 1-butanol, and ethanol from dilute aqueous solutions. *AIChE J* 2015; 61: 3470–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.14872>
- [103] Han Z, Xue Q, Hu X, Guo T, Ma J, Guo Q. A Comprehensive Review of Chemical Looping Ammonia Synthesis. *Innov Discov* 2024; 1: 9. <https://doi.org/10.53964/id.2024009>
- [104] Sun LH, Jiang B, Xiu ZL. Aqueous two-phase extraction of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths by isopropanol/ammonium sulfate system. *Biotechnol Lett* 2009; 31: 371–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-008-9874-3>
- [105] Narisetty V, Amraoui Y, Abdullah A, Ahmad E, Agrawal D, Parameswaran B, et al. High yield recovery of 2,3-butanediol from fermented broth accumulated on xylose rich sugarcane bagasse hydrolysate using aqueous two-phase extraction system. *Bioresour Technol* 2021; 337: 125463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125463>
- [106] Birajdar SD, Padmanabhan S, Rajagopalan S. Repulsive effect of salt on solvent extraction of 2,3-butanediol from aqueous fermentation solution. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2015; 90: 1455–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4450>
- [107] Jiang B, Li ZG, Dai JY, Zhang DJ, Xiu ZL. Aqueous two-phase extraction of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths using an ethanol/phosphate system. *Process Biochem* 2009; 44: 112–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2008.09.019>
- [108] Tan J, Sun Z, Huang H, Zhou G, Xie S. Salting-out: A novel purification technique in biorefinery. *Desalination* 2023; 564: 116790. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2023.116790>
- [109] Xie S, Li Z, Zhu G. Salting-out Effect on the Separation and Purification of Acetic Esters: Salting-out Agents, Theory, and Applications. *Sep Purif Rev* 2024; 53: 61–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2022.2159837>
- [110] Xie S, Ji W, Zhang Y, Zhou Y, Wang Z, Yi C, et al. Biobutanol recovery from model solutions/fermentation broth using tripotassium phosphate. *Biochem Eng J* 2016; 115: 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.08.010>
- [111] Sun Z, Tan J, Zhou G, Huang H, Xie S. Sugaring-out plus salting-out: A novel separation and purification technique for biofuel. *Fuel* 2024; 357: 129787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2023.129787>

[112] Liu J, Kubic WL, Tan ECD, Dempsey JW, Benavides PT, Balchandani S, et al. Techno-Economic Analysis and Life Cycle Assessment for the Separation of 2,3-Butanediol from Fermentation Broth Using Liquid-Liquid Extraction. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2025; 64: 5511–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c03056>

[113] Hu F, Lin R, Huang Y, Lai W, Zheng H, Huang H, et al. Turning sodium lignosulfonate waste from pulping and papermaking into a green separation agent for energy-efficient biofuel purification. *Chem Eng J* 2025; 519: 165563.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2025.165563>